

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			· ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,275	03/12/2004	Robert E. Richardson	7233	
7590 06/21/2005			EXAMINER	
Kenneth L. Tolar			SPISICH, MARK	
808 N. Causeway Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Metairie, LA 70001			1744	
		DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/799,275	RICHARDSON, ROBERT E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Spisich	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		A
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	/
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction to the correction to the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See don is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No
application from the International Bureau	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/799,275 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: #18 as per page 3, line 7. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roach (USP 6,058,545). The patent to Roach discloses a back scrubber (10) comprising a U-shaped bracket (11) including a base (14) attached to a support surface

Art Unit: 1744

by suction cups (17,18) and a pair of spaced arms (12,13), a brush member (20) disposed between the arms and a motor means (27) for rotating the brush member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roach (USP 6,058,545). The patent to Roach discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the screws. One of ordinary skill would deem it obvious to utilize any number of fastening means for securing the bracket to a support, including the recited screws (for a more permanent connection).
- 6. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roach (USP 6,058,5454) in view of Perry (USP 3,529,593). The patent to Roach discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the particular manner of securing the brush between the arms. The patent to Perry discloses a rotary back scrubbing device comprising a brush (30) secured between a spring-biased member (40,41) or broadly a "peg" and second end of the brush including a peg (32) on the brush engaging a bore in a gear. The location of the bore in the brush as opposed to the gear would be an obvious reversal of parts. One of ordinary skill would deem it obvious to modify the device of Roach as taught by Perry so as to facilitate removal of the bush.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,275 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of DE 2,843,683. The prior art discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the sleeves. '683 discloses a rotary back scrubber which further includes interchangeable sleeves (4,13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have provided the device of Roach with such a feature so that different surfaces could be used.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited patents are each pertinent to back scrubbers with a driven brush member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on (571) 272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,275 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS